References

- Ceccio, S. M. (1988). MS thesis, Univ. of California, Los Angeles, USA.
- Cheng, B., Safo, M. K., Orosz, R. D., Reed, C. A., Debrunner, P. G. & Scheidt, W. R. (1994). *Inorg. Chem.* 33, 1319–1324.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Messerschmitt, A. & Pflugrath, J. W. (1987). J. Appl. Cryst. 20, 306– 315.
- Scheidt, W. R., Cohen, I. A. & Kastner, M. E. (1979). Biochemistry, 18, 3546–3552.
- Scheidt, W. R. & Reed, C. A. (1981). Chem. Rev. 81, 543-555.
- Scheidt, W. R. & Turowska-Tyrk, I. (1994). Inorg. Chem. 33, 1314-1318.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Walker, N. & Stuart, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1275-1278

A Hexacobalt Sulfide Cluster Compound, [Co₆(µ-S)₈(PPh₃)₆].2PPh₃.2C₂H₄Cl₂.H₂O

Feilong Jiang,* Xiaoying Huang, Rong Cao, Maochun Hong and Hanqin Liu

State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry and Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, People's Republic of China

(Received 1 June 1994; accepted 20 December 1994)

Abstract

The title compound, octa- μ_3 -thio-hexakis(triphenylphosphine)-octahedro-hexacobalt-triphenylphosphine-1,2-dichloroethane-water (1/2/2/1), $[Co_6S_8(C_{18}H_{15}P)_6]$. 2C₁₈H₁₅P.2C₂H₄Cl₂.H₂O, was prepared by the reaction of $CoCl(PPh_3)_3$ with Na(mpo) (mpo = 2mercaptopyridine N-oxide) or NaS'Bu. The molecular structure consists of discrete cluster units interspersed with molecules of free triphenylphosphine and solvent. The neutral cluster contains a slightly distorted Co₆ octahedron with each triangular face symmetrically capped by a μ_3 -S ligand. The molecular structure possesses a crystallographic inversion centre. The average Co-Co, Co-S and Co-P bond distances are 2.87 (6), 2.24 (1) and 2.162 (7) Å, respectively. The hexacobalt sulfide cluster compound was formed via the capture of S atoms from thiolate reactants.

Comment

The title hexacobalt cluster compound, (I), consists of discrete $[Co_6(\mu_3-S)_8(PPh_3)_6]$ cluster units inter-

spersed with molecules of free triphenylphosphine, dichloroethane and water. The neutral cluster contains a slightly distorted Co₆ octahedron with each triangular face symmetrically capped by a μ_3 -S ligand. The six Co atoms are each terminally coordinated by one triphenylphosphine ligand. Therefore, each Co atom is surrounded by four S atoms and one P atom in a distorted square-pyramidal environment, along with another four Co atoms. The cluster could also be described as a slightly distorted S₈ cube with the Co atoms in facecentred positions. The molecular structure possesses a crystallographic inversion centre within the Co₆ core.



The mean Co-Co distance in the title complex, 2.87 (6) Å, is longer than in the cation $[Co_6S_8(PPh_3)_6]^+$ (2.81 Å; Fenske, Hachgenei & Ohmer, 1985) or in [Co₆S₈(PEt₃)₆] (2.81 Å; Cecconi, Ghilardi, Midollini & Orlandini, 1983), while the mean Co-S distance [2.24(1) Å] is similar to that in $[Co_6S_8(PPh_3)_6]^+$ (2.22 Å) and $[Co_6S_8(PEt_3)_6]$ (2.23 Å). It seems that the Co-Co bond lengths are affected by a change in the cluster charge or the phosphine ligands. The Co-S bonds also appear to make an important contribution to the stability of the cluster framework. The Co-Co-Co bond angles fall within the ranges 59.15(5)-60.56(5) and $88.87(6)-91.13(6)^\circ$, and are comparable with the values of 60 and 90° for perfect octahedral symmetry. It is interesting that the uncoordinated triphenylphosphine molecules act as solvate molecules and lie outside the neutral [Co₆S₈(PPh₃)₆] units, as shown in Fig. 2.

The title compound was synthesized via a novel route in which the sulfide ligands were obtained indirectly. To date, polynuclear metal sulfides have been prepared by using inorganic sulfur salts as the sulfide source (Fenske, Hachgenei & Ohmer, 1985). Recently, we have found that using Co¹⁺ and thiolates or dithiolates leads to the isolation of polycobalt sulfides such as [Co₄S(SCH₂CH₂S)₃(PPh₃)₃Cl] (Jiang, Huang, Wu, Kang, Hong & Liu, 1993) or $[Co_7S_6(PPh_3)_{7-n}X_n]$ (n = 1, 3; X = Br, Cl) (Jiang, Lei, Hong, Huang, Kang & Liu, 1993). These reactions are of particular interest where the sulfide ligands are obtained indirectly. Substitution of mpo⁻ (2-mercaptopyridine N-oxide) or S'Bu for the thiolates leads to the title compound. This reaction also shows that under appropriate conditions (long reaction times, for instance) the S atoms dissociate completely from the thiolates and are incorporated into the



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of the [Co₆S₈(PPh₃)₆] cluster showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.



Fig. 2. A view of the packing of the title compound in the unit cell.

cluster without any original thiolate ligands being coordinated. The title compound provides another example of a polycobalt sulfide complex formed via the capture of S atoms from organosulfide reactants. The disruption of the C-S bonds found in this reaction system may be related to the mechanism of hydrodesulfurization catalytic processes (Massoth & Kirby, 1977).

Experimental

Crystals of the title compound were obtained from the anaerobic reaction of CoCl(PPh₃)₃ with Na(mpo) or NaS'Bu in a 1:2 ratio in the mixed solvent $CH_3OH/C_2H_4Cl_2$ (1:5) for 20 h

at room temperature. Recrystallization from warm C₂H₄Cl₂ yielded single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis.

Crystal data

1.000

 $[Co_6S_8(C_{18}H_{15}P)_6]$.- $2C_{18}H_{15}P.2C_{2}H_{4}Cl_{2}H_{2}O$ $M_r = 2924.34$ Triclinic $P\overline{1}$ a = 15.347(7) Å b = 17.493(5) Å c = 15.003 (8) Å $\alpha = 102.80(3)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 116.77 (3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 79.94(3)^{\circ}$ $V = 3494(3) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 1 $D_{\rm x} = 1.39 {\rm Mg m^{-3}}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 20 reflections $\theta = 6 - 12^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.026 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296 KPyramid $0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.4$ mm Black

Data collection Rigaku MSC/AFC-5R 6624 observed reflections diffractometer $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$ $\omega/2\theta$ scans $R_{int} = 0.065$ Absorption correction: $\theta_{\rm max} = 25^{\circ}$ refined from ΔF $h = 0 \rightarrow 18$ (DIFABS; Walker & $k = -20 \rightarrow 20$ Stuart, 1983) $l = -17 \rightarrow 15$ $T_{\min} = 0.866, T_{\max} =$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 250 12793 measured reflections reflections 12280 independent intensity decay: 1.2% reflections Refinement

Refinement on F $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.41$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.97 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ R = 0.058wR = 0.069 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.63 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ S = 1.40Extinction correction: none 6624 reflections Atomic scattering factors 811 parameters H-atom parameters not refined Unit weights applied

from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($Å^2$)

$B_{\rm eq} = (4/3) \sum_i \sum_j \beta_{ij} \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

| | x | у | Z | Bea |
|--------|-------------|--------------|--------------|----------|
| Co(1) | 0.05075 (7) | -0.09951 (6) | -0.06549 (8) | 1.77 (4) |
| Co(2) | 0.12968 (7) | 0.00054 (6) | 0.12862 (8) | 1.78 (4) |
| Co(3) | 0.05463 (7) | 0.06532 (6) | -0.05566 (8) | 1.81 (4) |
| S(1) | 0.1779 (1) | -0.0265 (1) | 0.0046(1) | 2.05 (7) |
| S(2) | 0.0996(1) | 0.1243 (1) | 0.1024(1) | 2.00 (7) |
| S(3) | 0.0171 (1) | 0.0262 (1) | 0.1888 (1) | 2.04 (8) |
| S(4) | 0.0968 (1) | -0.1251 (1) | 0.0914 (2) | 2.12 (7) |
| P(1) | 0.0780 (2) | -0.2002 (1) | -0.1655 (2) | 2.13 (8) |
| P(2) | 0.2686 (2) | -0.0018 (1) | 0.2619 (2) | 2.15 (8) |
| P(3) | 0.1175 (2) | 0.1463 (1) | -0.0952 (2) | 2.30 (8) |
| C(111) | 0.1470 (6) | -0.2882 (5) | -0.1092 (6) | 2.7 (3) |
| C(112) | 0.2209 (7) | -0.2774 (5) | -0.0135 (7) | 3.5 (4) |
| C(113) | 0.2802 (8) | -0.3417 (6) | 0.0313 (8) | 5.4 (5) |
| C(114) | 0.2646 (9) | -0.4167 (6) | -0.0224 (9) | 5.2 (5) |

| 0.1918 (8) | -0.4293 (5) | -0.1173 (8) | 4.6 (5) | Table 2. |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|--|
| 0.1335 (7) | -0.3645 (5) | -0.1622 (7) | 3.7 (4) | |
| -0.0337 (6) | -0.2387 (5) | -0.2694 (6) | 2.7 (3) | $C_0(1) \rightarrow C_0(2)$ |
| -0.0842 (7) | -0.2909 (5) | -0.2583 (7) | 3.7 (4) | $C_0(1) - C_0(2')$ |
| -0.1675 (8) | -0.3195 (6) | -0.338 (1) | 5.4 (5) | $C_0(1) - C_0(3)$ |
| -0.2034 (9) | -0.2942 (9) | -0.427 (1) | 7.2 (6) | $Co(1) - Co(3^{\circ})$ |
| -0.159 (1) | -0.2396 (8) | -0.4403 (8) | 6.0 (6) | $C_0(1) - S(1)$ |
| -0.0736 (7) | -0.2109 (6) | -0.3619 (8) | 4.2 (4) | $Co(1) - S(2^{\circ})$ |
| 0.1486 (6) | -0.1887 (5) | -0.2335 (6) | 2.7 (3) | Co(1) - S(3') |
| 0.1974 (7) | -0.1235 (5) | -0.2090 (6) | 3.4 (4) | Co(1)— $S(4)$ |
| 0.2518 (8) | -0.1174 (6) | -0.2610 (8) | 4.5 (5) | Co(1) - P(1) |
| 0.2562 (8) | -0.1771 (7) | -0.3360 (8) | 4.6 (5) | Co(2)—Co(3) |
| 0.2088 (8) | -0.2434 (6) | -0.3598 (7) | 4.2 (5) | Co(2)—Co(1)—Co |
| 0.1544 (7) | -0.2494 (5) | -0.3090 (7) | 3.7 (4) | $Co(2^i) - Co(1) - C$ |
| 0.2607 (6) | 0.0107 (5) | 0.3825 (6) | 2.5 (3) | Co(2)-Co(1)-Co |
| 0.3146 (7) | 0.0622 (5) | 0.4658 (7) | 3.8 (4) | $Co(2^i) \rightarrow Co(1) \rightarrow C$ |
| 0.3120 (8) | 0.0661 (6) | 0.5581 (7) | 4.9 (5) | Co(2) - Co(1) - Co(1) |
| 0.2543 (8) | 0.0203 (7) | 0.5676 (7) | 4.9 (5) | Co(3) - Co(1) - Co(1) |
| 0.2005 (7) | -0.0303(7) | 0.4835 (8) | 4.7 (5) | Co(2) - Co(1) - S(|
| 0.2023 (6) | -0.0362 (6) | 0.3907 (7) | 3.5 (4) | Co(2)— $Co(1)$ — $S($ |
| 0.3535 (6) | 0.0719 (5) | 0.2888 (6) | 2.5 (3) | $Co(2^i) \rightarrow Co(1) \rightarrow S$ |
| 0.3186 (6) | 0.1498 (5) | 0.2900 (6) | 3.1 (3) | $C_0(2) - C_0(1) - S(1)$ |
| 0.3818 (7) | 0.2075 (5) | 0.3161 (8) | 4.3 (4) | $Co(2^i)$ — $Co(1)$ — S |
| 0.4794 (8) | 0.1872 (6) | 0.3412 (9) | 4.9 (5) | $C_0(2) - C_0(1) - S(1)$ |
| 0.5138 (7) | 0.1101 (6) | 0.3411 (9) | 5.0 (5) | $C_0(2^i) \rightarrow C_0(1) \rightarrow S_0(2^i)$ |
| 0.4523 (6) | 0.0525 (6) | 0.3159 (8) | 4.1 (4) | $C_0(2^i) - C_0(1) - P$ |
| 0.3511 (6) | -0.0920(5) | 0.2688 (6) | 2.6 (3) | $C_0(2) - C_0(1) - P($ |
| 0.3685 (7) | -0.1258(5) | 0.1862 (7) | 3.9 (4) | $C_0(3) - C_0(1) - S(1)$ |
| 0.4395 (8) | -0.1896 (6) | 0.1921 (8) | 4.7 (5) | S(1) - Co(1) - S(2) |
| 0.4919 (8) | -0.2202 (6) | 0.280(1) | 4.9 (5) | $S(2^{i}) - Co(1) - S(3)$ |
| 0.4734 (7) | -0.1864 (6) | 0.3632 (8) | 4.4 (4) | |
| 0.4035 (7) | -0.1226 (5) | 0.3577 (7) | 3.9 (4) | |
| 0.0651 (6) | 0.1545 (6) | -0.2293 (6) | 3.0 (4) | |
| 0.0642 (8) | 0.0859 (7) | -0.2960 (7) | 4.6 (5) | Intensity data |
| 0.039(1) | 0.090(1) | -0.397 (1) | 6.8 (7) | and absorption |
| 0.010 (1) | 0.163 (1) | -0.428 (1) | 8(1) | and then by a |
| 0.009 (1) | 0.229 (1) | -0.364 (1) | 8.5 (9) | |
| 0.0374 (8) | 0.2252 (7) | -0.2640 (8) | 5.7 (6) | atoms were it |
| 0.2487 (6) | 0.1239 (5) | -0.0657 (7) | 2.7 (3) | refined by full |
| 0.3132 (7) | 0.1087 (5) | 0.0279 (8) | 3.6 (4) | displacement |
| 0.4116 (7) | 0.0927 (6) | 0.0522 (8) | 4.4 (4) | structure-facto |
| 0.4478 (7) | 0.0913 (6) | -0.015 (1) | 4.9 (5) | refined The D |
| 0.3840 (9) | 0.1065 (7) | -0.110 (1) | 5.2 (5) | ienned. me r |
| 0.2845 (7) | 0.1243 (6) | -0.1361 (7) | 4.1 (4) | ecules were sta |
| 0.1134 (7) | 0.2508 (5) | -0.0366 (7) | 2.9 (4) | of 0.5. The ato |
| 0.1875 (9) | 0.2964 (6) | -0.013 (1) | 7.2 (6) | ecule were als |
| 0.181 (1) | 0.3757 (7) | 0.024 (1) | 9.6 (8) | footors of 0.5 |
| 0.101 (1) | 0.4094 (6) | 0.040(1) | 6.8 (6) | |
| 0.0285 (8) | 0.3655 (6) | 0.0192 (8) | 4.4 (5) | occupied. |
| 0.0347 (7) | 0.2855 (5) | -0.0182 (7) | 3.6 (4) | Data collect |
| 0.4919 (4) | 0.4610 (3) | 0.7602 (4) | 4.2 (2) | (Molecular St |
| 0.3104 (4) | 0.5210 (3) | 0.6742 (4) | 4.2 (2) | MSCINEC DE |
| 0.411 (1) | 0.430(1) | 0.815 (2) | 13(1) | MISCIARC DI |
| 0.427 (1) | 0.393 (1) | 0.887 (1) | 7.9 (7) | SDP (Frenz, 1 |
| 0.361 (1) | 0.346 (1) | 0.874 (1) | 10(1) | et al., 1982) |
| 0.279 (1) | 0.3384 (9) | 0.788 (2) | 10(1) | & Associates |
| 0.264 (1) | 0.378 (1) | 0.715 (1) | 8.9 (9) | (Johnson 107 |
| 0.327 (2) | 0.429 (2) | 0.731 (2) | 14 (2) | (JOIIISOII, 197 |
| 0.436 (1) | 0.439 (1) | 0.616(1) | 12(1) | |
| 0.362 (2) | 0.493 (1) | 0.579(1) | 10(1) | This work |

C(115)

C(116)

C(121)

C(122) C(123)

C(124)

C(125)

C(126)

C(131)

C(132)

C(133) C(134)

C(135)

C(136)

C(211)

C(212)

C(213)

C(214)

C(215)

C(216)

C(221)

C(222)

C(223)

C(224)

C(225)

C(226)

C(231)

C(232)

C(233)

C(234)

C(235)

C(236) C(311)

C(312)

C(313)

C(314)

C(315)

C(316)

C(321)

C(322)

C(323)

C(324)

C(325)

C(326) C(331)

C(332)

C(333)

C(334)

C(335)

C(336)

P(01)*

P(01')*

C(011)

C(012)

C(013) C(014)

C(015)

C(016)

C(021)

C(022)

C(023)

C(024)

C(025)

C(026)

C(031)

C(032)

C(033)

C(034)

C(035)

C(036)

Cl(1)

Cl(2)*

Cl(2')*

C(41)

C(42)

O(1W)*

0.327(1)

0.362(1)

0.435(1)

0.472(1)

0.483 (2)

0.546(1)

0.529 (2)

0.451(2)

0.384(1)

0.403 (1)

0.7204 (4)

0.845(1)

0.732(1)

0.776 (2)

0.786 (2)

0.974 (1)

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

| | 0 | 1 . | |
|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| Co(1)—Co(2) | 2.884 (2) | Co(2)—Co(3 ⁱ) | 2.884 (2) |
| $Co(1)$ — $Co(2^i)$ | 2.872 (2) | Co(2)—S(1) | 2.228 (2) |
| Co(1)—Co(3) | 2.864 (2) | Co(2)—S(2) | 2.219 (2) |
| $Co(1)$ — $Co(3^i)$ | 2.847 (2) | Co(2)—P(2) | 2.170(3) |
| Co(1)—S(1) | 2.230 (2) | Co(3)—S(1) | 2.239 (3) |
| $Co(1)$ — $S(2^i)$ | 2.217 (2) | $Co(3)$ — $S(4^i)$ | 2.249 (3) |
| $Co(1) - S(3^i)$ | 2.236 (2) | Co(3)—P(3) | 2.157 (2) |
| Co(1)—S(4) | 2.258 (3) | P(1)—C(111) | 1.846 (9) |
| Co(1)—P(1) | 2.159 (3) | P(1)—C(121) | 1.832 (8) |
| Co(2)—Co(3) | 2.884 (2) | P(1)—C(131) | 1.849 (8) |
| $Co(2) - Co(1) - Co(2^{i})$ | 91.13 (6) | S(3 ⁱ)—Co(1)—S(4) | 155.24 (9) |
| $Co(2^i)$ — $Co(1)$ — $Co(3^i)$ | 60.56 (5) | $Co(1)$ — $Co(2)$ — $Co(1^i)$ | 88.87 (6) |
| $Co(2)$ — $Co(1)$ — $Co(3^i)$ | 60.43 (5) | $Co(1^i)$ — $Co(2)$ — $Co(3^i)$ | 59.68 (5) |
| $Co(2^i)$ — $Co(1)$ — $Co(3)$ | 60.36 (5) | $Co(1^{i})$ — $Co(2)$ — $Co(3)$ | 59.28 (5) |
| Co(2)-Co(1)-Co(3) | 60.23 (5) | $Co(1)$ — $Co(2)$ — $Co(3^i)$ | 59.15 (5) |
| $Co(3) - Co(1) - Co(3^{i})$ | 90.24 (5) | Co(1)—Co(2)—Co(3) | 59.55 (5) |
| Co(2) - Co(1) - S(1) | 49.66 (7) | $Co(3)$ — $Co(2)$ — $Co(3^i)$ | 89.11 (6) |
| $Co(2) - Co(1) - S(2^{i})$ | 109.56 (8) | Co(1)—Co(2)—S(1) | 49.73 (6) |
| $Co(2^{i}) - Co(1) - S(2^{i})$ | 49.67 (6) | Co(1)— $Co(2)$ — $S(2)$ | 108.00 (8) |
| $Co(2) - Co(1) - S(3^{i})$ | 109.81 (8) | $Co(1^i)$ — $Co(2)$ — $S(2)$ | 49.61 (6) |
| $Co(2^{i}) - Co(1) - S(3^{i})$ | 49.79 (6) | Co(1) - Co(2) - P(2) | 133.90 (8) |
| Co(2)—Co(1)—S(4) | 49.70 (6) | Co(1)—S(1)—Co(2) | 80.61 (8) |
| $Co(2^{i})-Co(1)-S(4)$ | 110.69 (8) | Co(2)—S(1)—Co(3) | 80.42 (8) |
| $Co(2^{i}) - Co(1) - P(1)$ | 122.08 (8) | Co(3)— $S(1)$ — $Co(1)$ | 79.71 (8) |
| Co(2) - Co(1) - P(1) | 146.64 (8) | Co(3)—Co(1)—S(3 ⁱ) | 50.07 (6) |
| Co(3)—Co(1)—S(1) | 50.29 (7) | $Co(3^{i})-Co(1)-S(3^{i})$ | 109.73 (7) |
| $S(1) - Co(1) - S(2^{i})$ | 154.61 (9) | Co(3)—Co(1)—P(1) | 130.95 (7) |
| $S(2^{i}) - Co(1) - S(3^{i})$ | 87.37 (9) | | |

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z.

were corrected for Lorentz-polarization effects n. The structure was solved by direct methods difference Fourier syntheses. All Co, S and P ocated from an E map. All non-H atoms were l-matrix least-squares techniques with anisotropic parameters. H atoms were included in the or calculations at idealized positions but were not atoms of the free triphenylphosphine PPh3 molatistically distributed with site occupancy factors oms Cl(2) and Cl(2') in the $C_2H_4Cl_2$ solvent molso found to be disordered with site occupancy The H₂O solvent molecule site was only half

tion: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software tructure Corporation, 1988). Cell refinement: ffractometer Control Software. Data reduction: 978). Structure solution: MULTAN11/82 (Main Structure refinement: LSFM (B. A. Frenz Inc., 1985). Molecular graphics: ORTEPII 6). Computer: VAX 11/785.

This work was partly supported by a National Natural Science Foundation grant.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and bond distances and angles involving non-H atoms have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: KH1003). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- B. A. Frenz & Associates Inc. (1985). LSFM. College Station, Texas, USA, and Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Cecconi, F., Ghilardi, C. A., Midollini, S. & Orlandini, A. (1983). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 76, L183-L184.

| k | Site | occu | pancy | factor | = 0.5. |
|---|------|------|-------|--------|--------|
|---|------|------|-------|--------|--------|

0.5064 (8)

0.463 (1)

0.4070 (9)

0.3935 (8)

0.575 (1)

0.629 (2)

0.701 (1)

0.718(1)

0.664(2)

0.595(1)

0.2714 (3)

0.4009 (6)

0.4117 (6)

0.263 (1)

0.326(2)

0.458(1)

0.484 (1)

0.421 (1)

0.453(1)

0.551 (1)

0.786 (2)

0.813(1)

0.860(1)

0.874(1)

0.841 (2)

0.795 (2)

0.5782 (3)

0.7557 (8)

0.7716 (7)

0.707(1)

0.772 (2)

0.600(2)

7.5 (7)

6.7 (8)

7.2 (8)

7.5 (7)

17 (1)

10(1)

9(1)

11(1)

18 (1)

10.7 (3)

15.3 (7)

13.5 (8)

11 (1)

14 (2)

11(1)

8.7 (9)

Fenske, D., Hachgenei, J. & Ohmer, J. (1985). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 24, 706–709.

- Frenz, B. A. (1978). The Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 SDP a Real-Time System for Concurrent X-ray Data Collection and Crystal Structure Solution. Computing in Crystallography, edited by H. Schenk, R. Olthof-Hazekamp, H. van Koningsveld & G. C. Bassi, pp. 64–71. Delft Univ. Press.
- Jiang, F.-L., Huang, Z.-Y., Wu, D.-X., Kang, B.-S., Hong, M.-C. & Liu, H.-Q. (1993). Inorg. Chem. 32, 4971–4973.
- Jiang, F.-L., Lei, X.-J., Hong, M.-C., Huang, Z.-Y., Kang, B.-S. & Liu, H.-Q. (1993). J. Organomet. Chem. 443, 229–231.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1982). MULTAN11/82. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- Massoth, F. E. & Kirby, C. L. (1977). J. Catal. 47, 300.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1988). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Walker, N. & Stuart, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1278-1280

Carbonyl- κC -[tris(diphenylphosphinoyl)methanido- $\kappa^2 O, O'$][tris(*o*-tolyl)phosphine- κP]rhodium(I), [Rh(C{P(O)Ph₂}_3)(CO)-{P(*o*-Tol)_3}]

DANIEL M. C. SMIT, STEPHEN S. BASSON, ANDREAS ROODT AND ELIZABETH C. STEYNBERG

Department of Chemistry, University of the Orange Free State, PO Box 339, Bloemfontein 9300, South Africa

(Received 2 November 1994; accepted 10 January 1995)

Abstract

The title compound, $[Rh(C_{37}H_{30}P_3O_3)(C_{21}H_{21}P)(CO)]$, has approximate square-planar coordination geometry around the Rh atom. The significant difference [0.012(3) Å] in the Rh—O bond lengths is due to the slightly different *trans* influence of P(o-Tol)₃ and CO. This suggests that the longer Rh—O bond [*trans* to P(o-Tol)₃] will be preferentially substituted during intramolecular fluxional coordination behaviour of the tripodal ligand.

Comment

This structure determination forms part of an extension of our studies of square-planar rhodium(I) com-

©1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

plexes (Basson, Leipoldt, Roodt & Preston, 1991) of the form $[Rh(L,L')(CO)(PR_3)]$ (where L,L' is a monoanionic bidentate ligand) to include tripodal ligand sof the form $[Ph_2P(X)][Ph_2P(Y)][Ph_2P(Z)]CH$ (hereafter HTrisXYZ, where X, Y and Z are Group VIB elements). Rhodium(I) and iridium(I) complexes containing the $TrisO_3^-$ ligand have been found to be efficient catalysts for the cyclotrimerization and hydrosilylation of acetylenes (Tanke & Crabtree, 1990). Oxidative addition very often forms part of a catalytic cycle and in this context we are studying the mechanisms of oxidative addition of iodomethane to various Rh^I complexes formulated above. Structural results are sometimes of immense value as an aid in formulating the intimate nature of reaction mechanisms (Leipoldt, Basson, Roodt & Purcell, 1992) and the possible trans influence of $P(o-Tol)_3$ in the title complex, (I), concerned us in view of its unusual reactivity towards a number of common organic solvents and the known fluxional behaviour between the coordinated and uncoordinated arms in bidentate TrisS₃⁻ complexes (Browning, Beveridge, Bushnell & Dixon, 1986).



The Rh atom in (I) displays the usual square-planar geometry with the tripodal ligand coordinated in the bidentate mode *via* two O atoms. The C atom of the carbonyl group is situated 0.343 (4) Å from the plane defined by Rh, O1, O2 and P4. The Rh—P distance of 2.231 (1) Å is within the range 2.232 (2)–2.245 (2) Å



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title complex showing 50% probability ellipsoids. Phenyl ring H atoms are omitted for clarity.